

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/883,710	06/27/97	WALKER		Α	RA997020
			乛	EXAMINER	
JOHN D FLYNN				VU, T	
972 B656				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IBM CORPORATION PO BOX 12195 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK NC 27709		NC 27709		2756	. 5
					06/09/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/883,710

Applicant(s)

Walker

Examiner

Thong Vu

Group Art Unit 2756



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 29, 1999				
☐ This action is FINAL .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failuapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exte 37 CFR 1.136(a).	are to respond within the period for response will cause the			
Disposition of Claims				
	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)				
Claim(s)				
_	are subject to restriction or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review, PTO-948			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	;			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)			
	s of the priority documents have been			
received.				
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial I	Number)			
\square received in this national stage application from t				
*Certified copies not received:				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pri				
Attachment(s)				
☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	r No(s)			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO	-948			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
SEE OFFICE ACTION O	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES			

Application/Control Number: 08/883710

Art Unit: 2756

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed Mar 29,1999 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 [b] as being unpatentable over Waclawsky [5,802,302]

As per claim 1, Waclawsky et al disclose a communication system or network [col 2 line 32]; the first information frame or first messages [col 2 line 37]; selectively receiving a first response in response to transmission of the first information frame or second message [col 2 line 38]; measuring a first amount of time between transmission of the first information frame and receipt of the first response [col 2 lines 31-35]; and selectively modifying a response time value in response to the first amount of time [col 2 line 8]. By this rationale claim 1 is rejected.

As per claim 2, Waclawsky et al disclose incrementing an initial response time value by a timer or clock [clock 18] resolution value, to form the response time value. By this rationale claim 2 is rejected.

As per claim 3, Waclawsky et al disclose incremented up to a maximum response time value [col 2 lines 58-66]. By this rationale claim 3 is rejected.

Art Unit: 2756

As per claim 4 Waclawsky et al disclose the initial response time value is a default value or standard format [col 3 line 43]. By this rationale claim 4 is rejected.

As per claim 5, Waclawsky et al disclose the response time approximates an amount of time the communication system requires to transfer the first information frame between a first data processing system and a second data processing system [col 2 lines 39-col 3 lines 5]. By this rationale claim 5 is rejected

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Waclawsky et al [5,802,302] in view of Carlson [5,859,853]

As per claim 6, Waclawsky et al did not teach the response time value is dynamically modifiable in response to the first amount of time. However Carlson in his application on Adaptive Packet Training disclosed a mechanism that dynamically adjusts the packet train length to track the packet traffic arrival rate [Carlson col 2 lines 14-16]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the dynamically adjust the packet length into the Waclawsky system to enhance the response time on communication network. By this rationale claim 6 is rejected.

Art Unit: 2756

As per claims 7-19 contain the similar limitations set forth of method claims 1-6.

Therefore, claim 7-19 is rejected for the same rationale set forth claims 1-6.

Conclusion

- 4. All claims are rejected.
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Bondi. Network Management System With Improved Node Discovery and Monitoring [USP 5,710,885]
- Clark. Adjusting Encoding Table Size and Memory Allocation For Data Compression in Response to Input

 Data [USP 5,627,533]
- Bloks. System For Adjusting Timing of Output Data in Response to Potential Discontinuities in A Timing Signal [USP 5,751,721]
- Unekawa. Timeout Process Circuit and Receiver Including This Timeout Process Circuit {USP 5,706,425]
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thong Vu, whose telephone number is (703)-305-4643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30AM- 4:00PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays during the same hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Asta, can be reached on (703) 305-3817 or via e-mail addressed to [Frank.Asta@uspto.gov]. The fax number for this Group is (703) 308-6606 or 308-5359

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [thong.vu@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Thong Vu Jun 5, 1999

FRANK J. ASTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2700

Freffer